

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/035,990      | 12/31/2001                          | Herbert M. Reynolds  | 1335.00008             | 4532                    |  |
| 27305           | 7590 05/12/2004                     |                      | EXAMINER               |                         |  |
|                 | HOWARD ATTORNE                      | RAEVIS, ROBERT R     |                        |                         |  |
|                 | RST OFFICE CENTER, S<br>WARD AVENUE | UITE #101            | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                 | D HILLS, MI 48304-515               | 1                    | 2856                   |                         |  |
|                 |                                     |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/12/200 | DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/035,990   | REYNOLDS ET AL.   |   |  |  |  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Robert R. Raevis   | 2856  |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE | the final rejection.<br>EFINAL REJECTION. S             | See MPEP                                |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | sion and the corresponding amount of the   | fee. The appropriate ext<br>the final Office action; or | ension fee under<br>(2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: Each of the Independent claims presents a new combination.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  |  | sidered but does NC                                     | OT place the                            |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which we                                      | re newly                                |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w  |  |   | and an                                  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,5,10,16-31,33-36,38,39,55 and 56</u> .   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-9,11-15,32,37,40-54 and 57-65</u> .   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  | Ø ,   |   |  |  |  |
|   |  | ROUD  |   |  |  |  |
|   |  | RAEVIS<br>AU2856  |   |  |  |  |